UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,821	07/14/2003	Jing-Lung You	2450-0520P 5228		
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 08/31/2007 ART KOLASCH & BIRCI	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747		CERVETTI, DAVID GARCIA			
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2136		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/31/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/617,821	YOU ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		David G. Cervetti	2136				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status		0007					
, —	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju						
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
3)[closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Diamaaiti							
•	ion of Claims						
•	4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-12</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 13-18</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
	ion Papers	_					
<i>,</i> —	The specification is objected to by the Examine		ov the Examiner.				
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 July 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•						
Attachmen		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/617,821

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed June 19, 2007 have been considered.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are pending, claims 1-9 have been elected and examined, claims 13-18 are newly added. Claims 10-12 are withdrawn from consideration.

Response to Amendment

- 3. The objection to the specification is withdrawn.
- 4. The objection to claim 5 is withdrawn.
- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the prior art have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Information Disclosure Statement

6. It is noted that no Information Disclosure Statement has been filed on this application. Applicant's refers to "the drawbacks of the prior art", however no prior art is disclosed.

Claim Objections

7. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: "an operation system". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 8. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 9. Claims 1-9 and 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rasmussen (US Patent 7,181,626).

Application/Control Number: 10/617,821

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding claim 1, Rasmussen teaches a parent-children interactive intelligent management system, which is applied to use and manage household computers, for managing computer use authorization, time and operating system functions by simple operation, comprising (col. 1, lines 30-67):

a computer device having an operating system loaded therein (col. 1, lines 30-67); and

a reading device connecting to the computer device <u>for reading memory devices</u> including a manager memory device and a user memory device (col. 4, lines 1-60);

wherein the computer device is empowered to perform settings of user authorization, time <u>authorization or function</u> authorization for the user memory device by inserting the manager memory device into the reading device to facilitate managing computer device usage (col. 4, lines 30-67).

Regarding claim 13, Rasmussen teaches a parent-children interactive system comprising: a computer device having an operation system (col. 1, lines 30-67); and a reading device connecting to the computer device for reading a manager memory device used by a parent and a user memory device used by a child (col. 4, lines 1-60);

wherein when the manager memory device is inserted into the reading device, the computer usage authorization for the user memory device used by the child is changeable (col. 4, lines 1-60).

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Rasmussen teaches wherein the computer device is a desktop / notebook computer (col. 4, lines 1-60).

Application/Control Number: 10/617,821

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Rasmussen teaches wherein the reading device is a card reader (col. 4, lines 1-60) / the memory device is selectively an integrated circuit (IC) card or a diskette (col. 4, lines 1-60, col. 8, lines 5-45).

Regarding claim 6, Rasmussen teaches wherein after the system has been initialized and a manager <u>memory device</u> is inserted, the computer device displays a picture for entering a manager password, the <u>manager memory device</u> is locked after the password has been mistakenly entered three times (col. 7, lines 1-45).

Regarding claim 7, Rasmussen teaches wherein the computer device automatically displays an account number for each insertion of the <u>memory</u> device (figs. 2-3, col. 6, lines 35-67).

Regarding claim 8, Rasmussen teaches wherein the system setting is permitted to unlock the <u>memory device</u> after the password has been mistakenly entered three times (col. 7, lines 1-45).

Regarding claim 9, Rasmussen teaches wherein the function authorization can be function setting, card setting, record inquiry, advanced setting, or system descriptions (figs. 2-9, col. 6, lines 1-55).

Regarding claim 14, Rasmussen teaches wherein computer usage authorization is user authorization, time authorization or computer function authorization (figs. 2-9, col. 6, lines 1-55).

Regarding claim 15, Rasmussen teaches wherein the computer usage authorization is changed by changing the setting in the computer device (figs. 2-9, col. 6, lines 1-55).

Application/Control Number: 10/617,821

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding claim 16, Rasmussen teaches wherein the computer usage authorization is changed by changing the setting in the user memory device connected to the reading device (figs. 2-9, col. 6, lines 1-55).

Regarding claim 17, Rasmussen teaches wherein the computer usage information of the user memory device can be obtained when the manager memory device inserts into the reading device (figs. 2-9, col. 6, lines 1-55).

Regarding claim 18, Rasmussen teaches wherein the computer usage information is usage frequency, usage time, or usage duration (figs. 2-9, col. 6, lines 1-55).

Conclusion

- 10. **Examiner's Note:** Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested that the applicant, in preparing the responses, fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.
- 11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/617,821

Art Unit: 2136

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David G. Cervetti whose telephone number is (571)272-5861. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-Friday.
- 13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on (571)272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David García Cervetti/

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

8,27,07